



Sh Gurdeep Singh, S/o Sh Narain Singh,
R/o H No-9, Chamrang Mandi Basti,
Tainka Wali, Ferozepur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Controller,
Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3511 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Gurdeep Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Karandeep Singh, Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 22.03.2021, has sought a copy of letter No.Suptd.SDC-2/2020/1330 dated 29.07.2020 of SDM Ferozepur – the action taken on the letter from 29.07.2020 to 22.03.2021 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of the Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 30.04.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 08.06.2021 stating that the information be considered as nil (Nil mani javey) to which the appellant expressed dissatisfaction and filed a 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case first came up for hearing on 21.02.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The appellant claimed that the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent.

The Commission observed that the reply of the PIO dated 08.06.2021 is vague, and the PIO has not applied his mind while attending to the RTI application. The case was marked to the Secretary, Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Pb, with the direction to look at the PIO's reply and pass necessary instructions on how to attend RTI applications as per provisions of the Act.

Hearing dated 08.06.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that under the new Atta Dal Scheme of the State Govt, in the year 2017, as per the government's instructions for the re-verification of ration cards, the ration cards of 7916 families were found ineligible, which also included the name of Sh.Shelinder Singh and his family, due to which the scheme's benefit was discontinued to the ineligible persons. However, since no instructions were issued from the State Govt. for taking any legal action against these ineligible families, no action was taken against them as well as against Shelinder Singh & family. The reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.04.2022.

Appeal Case No. 3511 of 2021

The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO dated 19.04.2022, which has been taken on record.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference from the Commission is required. A copy of the reply is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: Secretary,
Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Pb
Anaj Bhawan, Sector 39-C, Chandigarh.



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh OM Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DFSC,
Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DFSC,
Hoshiarpur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5736 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Sanjeev Kumar, DFSO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 05.01.2021, has sought information on 07 points regarding the subsidized kerosene oil released on the eve of 550 years of Prakash Purav by the Punjab Govt vide letter dated 04.10.2019 – details of the dealers who lifted the oil - the quota allotted alongwith the allotment letter – the details of the dealers who lifted the oil directly from OIC/BPCL and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DFSO Sangrur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.02.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. As per the respondent, the reply/information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 19.02.2021. The respondent has also sent a copy of the information to the Commission, which has been taken on record.

The appellant has not received the information.

The respondent is directed to send a copy of the information to the appellant on his WhatsApp since he has requested the same.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the information received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh OM Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DFSC,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DFSC,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5734 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Gurmeet Singh, Legal Advisor for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 06.01.2021, has sought information regarding the details of the salary of Sh.Gurmeet Singh, Legal Advisor from Jan.2020 to Dec.2020 (who appeared on behalf of the PIO before the Commission on 18.11.2020 in complaint case No.591 of 2020) – the qualification of the legal advisor – a copy of the order/letter for not appearing before the Commission – authorisation letter for the Legal advisor to attend the hearing at the Commission and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DFSC Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 18.01.2021, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.02.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala/Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the information being 3rd party information, it cannot be provided

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

- | | | |
|-----------|---|---|
| - Point-1 | - | The PIO to provide the basic and total salary of Sh.Gurmeet Singh |
| - Point-2 | - | It is in question form and does not qualify as information as per section 2 (f) of the RTI Act. |
| - Point-3 | - | As per point-1 |
| - Point-4 | - | This is a hypothetical and an imaginary question |
| - Point-5 | - | To provide the information. |

The information be provided within ten days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh OM Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DFSO,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DFSO,
Sangrur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5741 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Vijay Singla ADFS for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 06.01.2021, has sought information on 07 points regarding subsidised kerosene oil released on the eve of the 550 years of Prakash Purav by the Punjab Govt vide letter dated 04.10.2019 – details of the dealers who lifted the oil - the quota allotted alongwith allotment letter – the details of dealers who lifted the oil directly from OIC/BPCL and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DFSO Sangrur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.04.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur. As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letters dated 22.01.2021 and 20.04.2021. The respondent has also sent a copy of the information to the Commission, which has been taken on record.

The appellant has not received the information.

The respondent is directed to send a copy of the information to the appellant on his WhatsApp.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the information received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**. A copy of the information received from the PIO is attached with the order for the appellant.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh OM Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Food & Civil Supply Consumer Affair,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
Food & Civil Supply Consumer Affair,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5737 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 06.01.2021, has sought information regarding the details of the salary of Sh.Gurmeet Singh, Legal Advisor from Jan.2020 to Dec.2020 (who appeared on behalf of the PIO before the Commission on 18.11.2020 in complaint case No.591 of 2020) – the qualification of the legal advisor – a copy of the order/letter for not appearing before the Commission – any authorisation letter for the Legal advisor to attend the hearing at the Commission and other information as enumerated in the RTI.

The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.02.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent is absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the appellant has filed another appeal, case (No.5734 of 2021), for seeking the same information from the office of DFSC Patiala, for which an order has already been passed for the PIO-DFSC Patiala to provide the information.

However, the PIO O/o Director Food Civil Supply & Consumer Affairs has not turned up at the hearing despite the notice from the Commission.

I am marking this to the Secretary, Department of Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Pb, to enquire into the matter for the reasons behind the PIO's absence and issue necessary instructions for PIOs to follow.

The case is **disposed of and closed** with the above observation and order.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to Secretary, Food Civil Supplies &
Consumer Affairs, Pb, Sector 39, Chandigarh.



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM, Zira,
Distt Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Zira,
Distt Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5609 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Tarsem Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 20.09.2021, has sought information regarding details of cases relating to reassignment of vehicles from March 2021 – copy of NOC and report of inspector attached with the application – details of cases which were assigned option number and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SDM-Zira, Distt. Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. As per the respondent, the information has already been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and has informed that he has received the information and does not want to pursue the case further.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh. OM Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DFSO,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DFSO,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5743 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jagmeet Singh, Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 23.06.2021, has sought information regarding details of the amount released relating to the unloaded wheat distributed to the beneficiaries by the ration depot of Malout Centre – details of the amount released to labour and details of the amount released to depot holders – details of entry of the released amount to labour and depot holders and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DFSO Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.09.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Naveen Kumar, S/o Sh Ranvir Singh,
C-36, Sector-88, Noida, Distt Uttar Pradesh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Nagar Parishad,
Near Sardar Udham Singh Chowk,
Nagar Park, Ferozepur City,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Nagar Parishad,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5648 of 2021

RESENT: None the Appellant
Sh.Jasmer Singh, Building Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 30.07.2021, has sought information regarding the name of the company which participated in the tender process relating to LED display – how the technical detail was provided to the company – why technical sheet was not provided to the appellant – the documents related to the company which was awarded the tender and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Nagar Parishad, Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.09.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 20.05.2022. The respondent has sent a copy of the reply to the Commission through email, which has been taken on the record.

The appellant is absent, nor is represented nor has communicated any discrepancies.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**. A copy of the information received from the PIO is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Raj Singh, S/o Late Sh Ishar Singh,
Village Tadarwal, P.O Babarpur Police Station,
Bhadson, Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Govt Hospital,
Bhadson, Distt Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o CMO,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5001 of 2021

RESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Navneet Singh CHC Bhadson for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.07.2021, has sought information on 04 points relating to entry of admission detail of Sh.Ram Lal in the emergency ward of Hospital on 28.04.2017 – MLR report of Sh.Ram Lal – Xray report etc as enumerated in the RTI application, from the office of SMO-Govt Hospital Bhadson, Distt.Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 02.08.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3rd party and personal information, it cannot be provided, and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.08.2021.

The appellant is absent nor is represented

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, I uphold the PIO's view that the information sought pertains to the medical records of a person and medical records of an individual are his/her personal records.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner